



212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19583-2017
Date of Decision : 11.05.2026

Swaran Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ajay Kalra, Advocate and
Ms. Isha Janjua, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Sonak, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate and
Mr. Tara Dutt, Advocate for respondent no.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to release the entire outstanding retiral dues and other consequential benefits alongwith interest.

At the outset, learned counsel for respondent no.2 refers to the order 07.01.2025 passed by this Court as also to the short reply filed by way of affidavit dated 05.06.2020. It was brought to the notice of this Court that all retiral benefits have been paid to the petitioner. Thereafter, the matter was adjourned twice on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner in order to verify the said aspect.

Till date, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to obtain complete instructions in this regard.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent no.2 that all retiral benefits have already been granted to the petitioner, no



further cause of action survives in the present petition. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition in case the averments made in the affidavit dated 05.06.2020 are found to be incorrect.

May 11, 2026
ps

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*