



CRM-M-30897-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

254

CRM-M-30897-2025

Date of decision : 14.05.2026

MUSHTAQ ALI AND OTHERS

... PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinderjit Singh Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Jaswal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.42 dated 18.03.2025 registered under Sections 109, 118(1), 115(2), 126(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on basis of compromise dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is outcome of a sudden quarrel without any pre-meditation. It is submitted that the dispute between the parties has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He has



CRM-M-30897-2025

-2-

referred to the compromise (Annexure P-2), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 02.04.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Nakodar and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 01.05.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as the counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties. Learned State counsel has filed the short reply by way of an affidavit of Mr. Sarwan Singh Bal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Shahkot, Jalandhar Rural in Court, which is taken on record.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10**

**CRM-M-30897-2025****-3-**

SCC 303, this petition is allowed and FIR No.42 dated 18.03.2025 registered under Sections 109, 118(1), 115(2), 126(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioner are hereby quashed.

14.05.2026

Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No