



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

COC-2784 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision :-25.03.2026

Amit Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Neha Singh, IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Kurukshetra

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Ankur Sheoran, Advocate for
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 04.3.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-244-2021 titled as “Amit Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others”, whereby the petition was disposed of on the basis of statement made by learned counsel for the State to the effect that “...an appropriate speaking order on the claim of the petitioners for the grant of minimum pay-scale alongwith other consequential benefits keeping in view the settled principle of law will be passed by the competent authority within the period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, the petitioners are found entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to them fortnight otherwise, due reasons will be given in the speaking



order. Learned State counsel further submits that the petitioner will be allowed to continue in service till the work of the said post exist and they will not be replaced by the similarly situated employees subject to the conditions that the work and conduct of the petitioners remains satisfactory so as to entitled them to continue in service..."

At the very outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that in compliance of the Writ Court order dated 04.3.2024 all arrears except for the period from 03.12.2020 to 15.1.2021 has been paid to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State clarifies that the petitioners have not worked for the said period and therefore they are not entitled for pay of the said period.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, no further directions is required to be passed in the present contempt petition. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is **disposed of.**

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed of.

Needless to say that the petitioners would be at liberty to take recourse to the appropriate remedy as per law, if any.

March 25, 2026

Vijay Asija

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No