



2026:PHHC:073144

CR-1926-2021

1

2026:PHHC:073144



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-1926-2021

Date of decision : 11.05.2026

Satnarayan Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Raman Kumar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sarju Puri, Senior Advocate with
Ms.Anjila Guru, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dinesh Babu Khurana, Advocate
for respondents no.1 to 7.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 07.04.2021 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Garhshankar, vide which the application of the plaintiffs-respondents no.1 to 7 under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC for amendment of the plaint has been allowed.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that one of the reasons for challenging the impugned order dated 07.04.2021 is that the plea of the respondents no.1 to 7 which they are now seeking to take in the amended plaint is contrary to the evidence. It is submitted that the case



is now fixed at the stage of rebuttal evidence and the respondents should not be permitted to lead any further evidence.

3. Learned counsel for respondents no.1 to 7 has fairly submitted that they would not lead any further evidence and at any rate, the petitioner would also be given opportunity to file an amended written statement.

4. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present petition be disposed of but the respondents no.1 to 7 be bound by the statement made before this Court that they would not lead any further evidence.

5. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances and the fair stand taken by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondents no.1 to 7, the present revision petition is disposed of while upholding the impugned order dated 07.04.2021 with the clarification that the respondents no.1 to 7-plaintiffs would not be permitted to lead any further evidence in pursuance of the amendment of the plaint.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 11, 2026.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No