



**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.5636 of 2026

Date of Decision: 13.05.2026

Riya and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Kavita, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5, as they are harassing, interfering in the life of the petitioners and became annoyed as petitioner No.1 wants to marry petitioner No.2, as and when he will attain the marriageable age.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major, though, petitioner No.2 is not of the marriageable age. She has submitted that both the petitioners are living in a live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 & 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 & 5. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 13.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2.



2026:PHHC:075321

CRWP No.5636 of 2026

-2-

2026:PHHC:075321



3. Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2, i.e. Superintendent of Police, Ambala, Haryana to decide the representation dated 13.05.2026 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the live-in-relationship of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.05.2026

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No