



134

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5628-2026

Date of decision : 15.05.2026

Anju and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Vicky Kishor, Advocate and
Mr. Ravinder Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for directing the official respondents No.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners illegally at the behest of respondents No.4 & 5 and also directing respondents No.2 and 3 to restrain respondents No.4 and 5 from interfering in the married life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 & 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 & 5. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-5 and P-6. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-7) before respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Administrative Complex, Sector-76, SAS Nagar (Mohali).



2026:PHHC:076593

2026:PHHC:076593



CRWP-5628-2026

-2-

3. Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 3, only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Ekom Pal Sagoo, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Administrative Complex, Sector-76, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to decide the representation dated 12.05.2026 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

15.05.2026

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No