



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

122-2

CRM-M-27528-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.05.2026

John Irrikakannu Oluna

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Bhaskar Sorout, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, DAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNSS'*) seeking modification in the order dated 27.03.2026 (Annexure P-1), passed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad in case bearing FIR No. 513 dated 10.09.2025, registered under Sections 21(C) and 29 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Surajkund, District Faridabad, whereby while granting concession of regular bail to the petitioner, he has been directed to furnish personal bonds in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with two sureties in the like amount, out of which one surety should be local.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that although the petitioner has been granted the concession of regular bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, however, being a foreign national, he is unable to fulfill the conditions imposed in the impugned order, particularly the condition requiring furnishing of two sureties of Rs.1,00,000/- each. It is contended that due to absence of local contacts and relatives in India, the petitioner is not in a position to arrange such sureties. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to comply with all other conditions and furnish adequate security to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court and, therefore, the impugned condition deserves to be suitably modified.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned State counsel, who has advance notice of the petition and is ready to argue the matter, has submitted that there is no infirmity or illegality in the impugned order. Hence, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

5. This Court has heard the rival submissions.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and considering the fact that the petitioner is a foreign national and is facing difficulty in furnishing the bail bonds/sureties in terms of the impugned order, this Court is of the considered opinion that the conditions imposed while granting regular bail deserve to be modified to secure the ends of justice. At the same time, adequate safeguards are also required to ensure the presence of the petitioner during trial proceedings. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with modification in the order dated 27.03.2026 (Annexure P-1) to the extent that instead of furnishing two sureties in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/-



each, the petitioner shall furnish one surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. The petitioner shall also deposit his passport with the learned trial Court and shall not leave the country without prior permission of the said Court. The remaining conditions imposed in the impugned order shall remain intact.

15.05.2026*Waseem R. Ansari***(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned**Yes/No**Whether reportable**Yes/No*