



**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.5656 of 2026
Date of Decision: 13.05.2026**

Navneet Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Gunjan Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and further not to intrude into the privacy of the petitioners by raiding their house time and again and to decide the representation dated 08.05.2026 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 & 5 according to sikh rites and rituals and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 & 5. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 08.05.2026 (Annexure



P-5) to respondent No.2, i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, Punjab.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ekompal Sagoo, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2, i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, Punjab to decide the representation dated 08.05.2026 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

13.05.2026

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE