



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

**CWP-14938-2026 (O&M)
Decided on :14.05.2026**

SUBHASH BANSAL AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

PRESENT: Mr. Munish Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Pal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the amendment done vide notification dated 22.04.2026 to the Haryana Targetted Public Distribution System (Licensing and Control) Order 2022, against which various objections have been raised that keeping in view the minimum age fixed to be eligible for the distributionship and even for renewal of the said distributionship in case a licence was already granted for said purpose, the petitioners have been made ineligible to run such distributionship and therefore, the said embargo imposed upon petitioners under the Haryana Targetted Public Distribution System (Licence and Control), be treated as void either for the purpose of continuance or for renewal of the same.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Ms. Anu Pal, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that the issue has been reconsidered by the Government in the light of the grievances raised by the petitioners and as per the communication dated 11.05.2026 received from the Director General Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Department, Haryana,

***CWP-14938-2026 (O&M)***

Chandigarh addressed to all the District Food and Supplies Controller, the conditions imposed vide notification dated 22.04.2026 which are being objected to, which puts an embargo upon continuance of the licence or for renewal of the same, will only be applicable upon the licences which will be issued after said amendment to 2022 order and not to the licences which have already been granted prior to the said date for the continuance of the same or for renewal.

4 Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the said statement as no action is to be taken against the petitioners under the amended dated 22.04.2026, no grievance of the petitioners survive and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5 It may further be noticed that, in case any prejudicial action has already been taken against the petitioner, the same shall be rectified in view of the statement made by learned State counsel recorded hereinabove, so as to allow the petitioner to continue.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Pending civil miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE**

14.05.2026

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No



CWP-14938-2026 (O&M)