



2026:PHHC:076142

2026:PHHC:076142



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

150

CM-7382-CWP-2026 IN/AND
CWP-15690-2016
Decided On: 14.05.2026

SUKHWINDER SINGH AND ORS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the applicants/petitioners

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

* * * *

CM-7382-CWP-2026

The instant application has been moved under Section 151 CPC read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India for listing the main case for actual date of hearing.

Notice in the application to the non applicant/State.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana, having been served with an advance copy of application, accepts notice and submits his no objection to the prayer made in the application.

For the reasons stated in the application and in view of no objection submitted by learned State counsel, the same is allowed and the main case is taken on board today itself as ***Civil Appeal No.1996-2024*** titled ***Madan***



CM-7382-CWP-2026 IN/AND
CWP-15690-2016

-2-

Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors. stands adjudicated by the Apex Court vide its judgment dated 16.04.2026.

CM stands disposed of.

Main Case

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 01.09.2015 (P-6 colly.) vide which respondent No.2 withdrew the regularization order dated 21.08.2014 and 22.08.2014 (P-2 colly.) of the petitioners.

2. The petitioners were appointed as Data Entry Operators on w.e.f. 08.01.2008, 12.09.2008 and Feb, 2021 on contract basis.

3. The petitioners' claim is to be covered under the policy dated 18.06.2014 (Annexure P-1) as well as by the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Civil Appeal No. 1996 of 2024*** titled ***Madan Singh and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others***, along with a bunch of connected appeals.

4. Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection to the aforesaid proposal put forth by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, the respondents/competent authority, is directed to examine the individual claims for regularization of the petitioners and adjudicate the same in light of the principles laid down in ***Madan Singh's case*** (supra), after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and by passing a speaking order. A copy of the speaking order so passed shall be supplied to the petitioners within one week thereafter.



**CM-7382-CWP-2026 IN/AND
CWP-15690-2016**

2026:PHHC:076142

-3-

6. It is further clarified that all the pleas raised in the present petition and the grounds taken therein shall be duly considered at the time of adjudication of the petitioners' case before passing the speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing.

7. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

14.05.2026

Naina Rajput

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No