

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

271

CWP-16182-2022

Date of Decision: 21.04.2026

Amandeep Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhilaksh Gaiind, Standing Counsel and
Ms. Priya Jarial, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 5.**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J.** (Oral)

1. The matter relates to pipelines on the roads under construction to avoid flood like situation.


2. On 26.02.2026, the following order was passed by this Court:

“Counsel for the petitioner has asserted that despite the fact that respondents No.2 and 5 have taken a stand that culverts have been laid, there is still water logging in the area. He asserts that respondents No.2 and 5 have not laid any culvert under the road connecting village Chotian to village Bhalaiiana and on the roads connecting other villages. He asserts that culverts laid by respondents No.2 and 5 under the road to village Kothe Chet Singh Wale are inadequate and affected areas still experience water logging.

List on 21.04.2026.

Let Deputy Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib, look into the matter and file an affidavit after associating all parties.”

3. As per learned counsel for the respondents, the steps which could be taken have already been taken and nothing further can be done.



4. A period of 4 years has already passed away. The matter relates to construction and as per the respondents all the effective steps have already been taken. Learned counsel for the petitioners is unable to dispute the aforestated position.

5. Disposed of.

6. The petitioners are at liberty to avail remedy as permissible by law, if cause survives.

21.04.2026

Vimal

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No