



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-26434-2026(O&M)

Date of decision: 14.05.2026

Sagar @ Gandhi

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Anita Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Paras Talwar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.252 dated 11.11.2024 registered under Sections 103(2), 190, 191(3) and 61 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station Sadar Ambala, District Ambala.

2. The aforesaid FIR was registered on the statement of Mohit Kumar Yadav son of Sh. Devi Parshad. The translated version thereof reads thus:-

“My younger brother, Vishal alias Vishu, age 21, worked at a bangle shop in the cloth market on Shukla Kund Road, Ambala city. Yesterday on 10.11.2024 at about 9.00 A.M. deceased Vishal @Vishu had gone to his job and about 10.45 P.M. I came to know from somewhere that my brother Vishal @ Vishu is being beaten by some boys near Shiv Mandir. So upon this information I immediately went to that place and saw that the motorcycle of my brother is lying on the side of the road and my brother Vishal@ Vishu was lying on the other side of the road and his hands and neck have been attacked with a sharp weapon. Immediately I called my uncle Pardeep Kumar S/o Sh. Ram Dularey Yadav and called him on the spot. Upon this Pradeep kumar and my nephew



Sahil S/o Sh. Jai Narayan reached on the spot upon which me and my nephew Sahil took my brother Vishal @Vishu on my motor cycle to Civil Hospital, Ambala City for his treatment and I got admitted my brother. During treatment, after near about 10-15 minutes, the doctors declared about the death of Vishal @Vishu due to the injuries suffered by him during the quarrel. Our neighbor Akash S/o Shri Ravinder Kumar came to me and informed that today Deepa S/o unknown R/o new village (Naya Gaon), called him on instagram platform and extended threats and said that today Sagar Gandhi (petitioner herein), Dishu@Kodi r/o Ravidas Majri, will teach you and your friend Vishal @Vishu, a lesson, for having a fight with Sachin and Aakash s/o Sanjeev Kumar and we will kill you. Because a few days ago my brother Vishal @Vishu had a quarrel with Sachin S/o Sanjeev Kumar and Aakash, S/o Sanjeev Kumar and due to reason to take revenge on the saying of Sachin and Aakash, the accused Deepa, Sagar @Gandhi, Gauri S/o and Address unknown, Rahul and Dishu @ Kaudi R/O Ravidas Majri, stabbed my brother with a sharp weapon and due to this my brother had died. I request you to take the strictest possible action against all involved"

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that co-accused namely Akash and Sachin have been granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 26.02.2026 passed in CRM-M-59740-2025 and 01.05.2026 passed in CRM-M-18271-2026 respectively. She further contends that the attribution against the petitioner is that he gave fist blows to the deceased. She submits that a total of 04 injuries have been accorded on the body of the deceased, of which one was an incised wound while other 03 were lacerated wound. She further submits that none of the said injuries can be said to have been occasioned as a result of fist blows. There is thus no attribution against the petitioner and no recovery of any nature has been effected from him. There is also no other criminal case against the petitioner. It



is further submitted that the case of the petitioner would be more at par with the co-accused Akash and Sachin to whom concession of regular bail has already been granted by this Court. She further submits that there are 18 witnesses cited by the prosecution out of which none has been examined so far, hence, the conclusion of trial shall take long.

4. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the submissions as aforesaid. However he contends that there are specific attributions against the petitioner for having given fist blows to the deceased.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and have gone through the documents appended along with the present petition.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case and taking into consideration the period of custody already undergone by the petitioner, the nature of allegations levelled against him, his clean antecedents, absence of recovery as well as the stage of trial and noticing that arguable issues would arise with respect to the active participation of the petitioner on the basis of the medical record as well as the fact that co-accused of the petitioner i.e. Akash and Sachin have already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition.

7. The instant petition is ***allowed*** and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.



9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

14.05.2026

Sumit Gusain

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE