



2026:PHHC:076789

CRM-M-26487-2026

1

2026:PHHC:076789



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

205

**CRM-M-26487-2026 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 15.05.2026.**

Rahul Dhingra

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Rahul Dhingra	308	18.06.2025	21(b) of NDPS Act	City Sirsa	Sirsa

2. Case of the prosecution is that from the possession of petitioner 25.053 grams of Heroin was recovered on 18.06.2025 when he was riding on a motorcycle bearing No.HR-24AE-8041. Upon disclosure, he further disclosed that said Heroin was delivered to him by another accused Karandeep Singh, who has already been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 26.02.2026 (Annexure P-2).



3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the recovered quantity is almost ten times less to the maximum of non-commercial quantity i.e. 250 grams and already petitioner has suffered sufficient incarceration period inside jail since 18.06.2025 i.e. 10 months and 26 days. Out of total 14 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far by the prosecution. Though petitioner is facing two other cases i.e. (1) FIR No.931 dated 26.10.2022 under Sections 21(c), 21, 22 and 29 of NDPS Act and Sections 120-B and 193 IPC, Police Station Sirsa City, District Sirsa, and (2) FIR No.307 dated 18.06.2025 under Section 21(b) of NDPS Act, Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa, but in both these cases, petitioner has already been released on bail and he has not been convicted in any of the case under NDPS Act. Learned counsel thus prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel argues that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, no case for bail is made out.

5. I have considered the submissions addressed by the respective counsel.

6. Considering all circumstances including recovery of non-commercial quantity of Heroin and also that all the prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined, whereas petitioner has already remained inside jail for a period of 10 month and 26 days and trial of the case is likely to consume considerable time, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

7. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in



CRM-M-26487-2026

3

any other case.

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

10. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

11. **Petition stands disposed of.**

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

15.05.2026
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No