



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CRM-M-25933-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.05.2026

Tej Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Deepender Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nipun Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.72 dated 18.03.2021, registered under Sections 148, 149, 302, 323, 506 & 25/54/59 of Arms Act (Sections 325, 326, 34 IPC added later on) (148, 149 IPC deleted) at Police Station Chand Hut, District Palwal (Haryana).

2. Learned Senior counsel contends that the petitioner was granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 05.09.2024, Annexure P-6, after being in custody for 3 years and more than 4 months, whereafter, he continued to appear regularly for a year, though absented on 05.09.2025 due to ill-health and proclamation proceedings were initiated and before its culmination, he had filed an application to surrender on 05.01.2026, as is reflected from the order of even date, appended as Annexure P-8 and since then, he has been in custody. As per the allegations, he has attributed simple injuries to the deceased and one grievous to witness-Sahil. Co-accused Triveni and Jawahar have already been



granted bail by this Court, vide Annexures P-10 and P-11 respectively. Charges have been framed on 08.09.2024, however, out of 44 prosecution witnesses, 33 have been examined. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

3. The custody certificate dated 12.05.2026, filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 3 years, 8 months and 23 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having caused injuries and absented from the proceedings. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage, co-accused having been granted bail and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody since his re-arrest on 05.01.2026; not involved in any other case; co-accused are on bail; charges were framed on 08.09.2024, however, 11 more prosecution witnesses still remain to be examined, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.



9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

13.05.2026

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No