



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

127

CRM-M-27656-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.05.2026

Harmanjeet Singh Walia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Sumit Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.


Ms. Himani Arora, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhay Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 2/complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of order dated 21.01.2023 (Annexure P-3), passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Panchkula in case titled as ***State Bank of Patiala vs. Harmanjit Singh Walia***, filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, whereby the petitioner had been declared a proclaimed person, as well as all the subsequent proceedings having emanated therefrom including FIR No. 80 dated 18.02.2023, registered against him under Section 174-A of IPC at Police Station Sector 7, Panchkula.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his arguments only to the extent of quashing the impugned order dated 21.01.2023.



3. After advancing arguments at length, learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out any lacuna in the impugned order. However, he has submitted that since the petitioner is ready to join the Court proceedings, he may be directed to surrender before the learned trial Court.
4. Keeping in view the limited prayer made by the petitioner and the fact that he is ready to join the Court proceedings which would obviously help in speedy conclusion of trial, the present petition is disposed of. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of one month from today and on doing so, the learned trial Court shall release him on bail, subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to its satisfaction.
5. Till the appearance of the petitioner before the learned trial Court, his arrest shall remain stayed.
6. It is made clear that in case the petitioner fails to appear before the learned trial Court within the stipulated time, this petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.
7. However, this relief shall be subject to payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-, to be deposited by the petitioner with the learned trial Court, which shall be disbursed to respondent No.2/complainant.
8. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a fresh petition for quashing of aforementioned FIR, if so advised.

15.05.2026

*Wasim R. Ansari***(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned**Yes/No**Whether reportable**Yes/No*