



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

COC-2276 of 2026 (O & M)

Date of decision :-11.05.2026

Paramjeet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Jagdish Singh, Chairperson, Child Welfare Committee, Patiala

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Jasinder Singh Thind, Advocate with
Mr. Divyanshu Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG Punjab.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 06.2.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CRWP-8449-2025 titled as “paramjeet Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others”, whereby the parties were directed to appear before the Child Welfare Committee, Patiala, who was directed to pass a detailed order with regard to the request made by the petitioner, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Prof. Jagdish Chander Singh, Chairperson, Child Welfare Committee, Patiala, submits that pursuant to the aforesaid directions, the matter is actively under consideration before the Child Welfare Committee, Patiala. It is further submitted that the two minor children, aged about



08 years and 10 years respectively, have been regularly counseled by the Committee and the process of interaction and assessment is continuing keeping in view the welfare and best interests of the children.

Learned State counsel further submits that the Committee had lastly interacted with the minor children on 03.5.2026 and that after completion of the counseling process and due deliberations, a detailed order in terms of the directions issued by this Court shall be passed expeditiously.

This Court has considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

A perusal of the record as well as the statement made on behalf of the Child Welfare Committee shows that the directions issued by the Writ Court are being acted upon and the proceedings before the Committee are in progress. The matter pertains to the welfare, custody and counseling of minor children and, therefore, the Committee is required to proceed with due care, sensitivity and caution while arriving at an appropriate conclusion. Merely because the final order has not yet been passed would not, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, lead to an inference of wilful or deliberate disobedience of the order passed by this Court, particularly when substantial steps in compliance thereof are admittedly being undertaken.

It is well settled that contempt jurisdiction is primarily intended to ensure compliance of judicial orders and is not meant to



be invoked where the authority concerned is shown to be acting in furtherance of the directions issued by the Court and the process of compliance is underway.

In view of the above stated facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that no case for proceeding further in the present contempt petition is made out.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition is **dismissed**.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

May 11, 2026

Vijay Asija

(**NIDHI GUPTA**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No