



2026:PHHC:075621

2026:PHHC:075621



-1-

**126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-3947-2026

Date of Decision:13.05.2026

**JATINDER SINGH (SINCE DECEASED) THROUGH LRS AND
OTHERS**

...Petitioners

Versus

GMN GOLLEGE, AMBALA CANTT. AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL

Present: Mr. Rajinder Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)

Present revision petition has been preferred under Article 227 of the Constitution of India by the petitioners-tenants being aggrieved by impugned order dated 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Appellate Authority, Ambala whereby interim stay granted vide order dated 17.02.2025 was vacated on account of failure of petitioners-tenants to argue the matter on merits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners-tenants has argued that he could not argue the case on account of personal difficulty as some problem had arisen at the house of his daughter. It is stated that petitioners-tenants are ready to argue the case as and when directed by the Court and by vacating the stay order, the appeal of petitioners-tenants would become infructuous as respondents-landlords are likely to execute eviction order dated 23.12.2024.

3. On consideration, I find that present situation is result of own



CR-3947-2026

-2-

doings of petitioners-tenants. In fact, after passing of stay order on 17.02.2025, the matter was mostly adjourned on the request of petitioners-tenants and on some occasion at the request of respondents-landlords also. On 06.04.2026 also, learned counsel for the petitioners-tenants had requested for an adjournment and the Court had made it clear that in case, on 09.04.2026, application for mesne profits and main appeal is not argued the stay shall be vacated. Despite specific orders again on 09.04.2026, learned counsel for the petitioners-tenants had sought an adjournment. However, keeping in view the fact that in the present case, respondents-landlords can be compensated with costs. In the interest of justice, I find it appropriate to give one opportunity to petitioners-tenants to argue their appeal on merits with protection against eviction. Accordingly, order dated 09.04.2026 is set aside. The interim order passed on 17.02.2025 is restored back, subject to the condition that petitioners-tenants shall argue the matter on the next date fixed for arguments before the learned Appellate Court.

4. Learned Appellate Court is directed to take up the case on 20.05.2026 and after notice to respondents-landlords, fix a date for arguments and on the said date, the petitioners-tenants shall not seek any adjournment and advance the arguments on their behalf. The opportunity to petitioners-tenants is subject to costs of Rs.10,000/- to be paid to respondents-landlords. Revision petition is disposed of in above terms.

5. Pending application(s), if any, is/are disposed of accordingly.

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

13.05.2026
Ravinder

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No