



123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13495-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 14.05.2026**

MUKESH KUMAR AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Aman Nain, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners on the post of Data Entry Operator manned by them in the light of policy decision dated 29.07.2011 (P-4) and notification dated 17.01.2012 (P-5) without insisting on the condition of continuous/ continued service of 10 years as on 10.04.2006 and in the light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case titled as "**Jaggo vs Union of India and others**" reported as 2024 SCC Online SC 3826 and in the light of the judgment passed by this High Court in case bearing CWP No. 31304 of 2025 titled as "**Joginder vs State of Haryana and**



another" decided on 31.12.2025 alongwith all consequential benefits.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 13.01.2026 (Annexure P-7) within a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and assures the Court that the representation dated 13.01.2026 (Annexure P-7) shall be decided by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above, and without advertng to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2-Director General, Information, Public Relation and Cultural Affairs Department, Haryana is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 13.01.2026 (Annexure P-7) in view of judgment passed by the Supreme Court in **Jaggo's case (supra)**, after providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within seven days thereafter.

7. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

14.05.2026
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>: Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>: Yes/No</i>