



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-20770-2026 in/and
CRM-M-24062-2026
Date of Decision:11.05.2026**

Simritpal Singh Sandhu

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Mehtab Singh Khaira, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-20770-2026

1. Application is allowed as prayed for, subject to just all exceptions.
2. Annexures A-1 to A-3 are taken on record.

Main case

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.27, dated 13.04.2025, registered under Sections 109, 126(2), 191(3), 190, 324(5) of B.N.S and Section 25/27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Dhilwan, Kapurthala, District Kapurthala, Punjab.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was initially registered against 14/15 unknown persons and no physical description of any of the accused was mentioned in the FIR. Moreover, the petitioner was also not named in the FIR nor there was any averment which even remotely connects him with the commission of crime. He further contends that only one person Amandeep Singh Gill was injured in the present case and he had suffered only one lacerated wound on his head, however, the injured has already



CRM-M-24062-2026

-2

been discharged long ago and the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature. Learned counsel next contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 19.11.2025 and is in custody for the last about more than five months. Still further, the challan has already been presented against him and conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. It is not in dispute that the injuries suffered by Amandeep Singh Gill, injured has already been declared to be simple in nature. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about five months and the challan has already been presented against the petitioner. Moreover, there is no material on record to show that the petitioner is in a position to tamper with the prosecution evidence. Thus, further custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

11.05.2026
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No