



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

215

COCP-1637-2023

Date of Decision: 12.01.2026

Satish Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

Ajit Balaji Joshi

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for the respondent.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed alleging violation of the order dated 21.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-28847-2022 filed by the petitioner which has been disposed of in terms of order dated 30.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) passed in CWP-19124-2021 and other connected matters, whereby it was directed that ‘.....*the money deposited by each petition in those schemes, also would be refunded by 31.10.2022 alongwith interest as specified hereinabove.*

2. Learned counsel for the respondent refers to para No.4 of the reply dated 15.04.2024 filed by way of affidavit of Sh. T.L. Satyaparkash, Chief Adminstratory, Housing Board Haryana, Panchkula, wherein in it was stated as follows:-

“4. *That it is submitted that in compliance of order dated 21.12.2022 passed in CWP No. 28847 of 2022 titled as Satish Kumar Vs Housing Board Haryan and others an amount of Rs.6,07,876/- was paid to the petitioner vide RTGS No. 2095 dated 23.06.2023 (Copy attached as annexure R-I).*



The calculations of refundable amount is given as under please.

<i>Sr. No.</i>	<i>Particulars</i>	<i>Amount</i>
1.	Registration Amount	Rs.1,92,000
2.	Interest w.e.f. 01.07.2014 to 12.06.2023 for 3268 days @ 3.20% PA	Rs. 55,010
3.	Amount received after draw of successful applicants	Rs.2,97,000
4.	Interest w.e.f. 05.05.2015 to 12.02.2023 for 2960 days @ 3.20% PA	Rs. 77,074
5.	Total	Rs.6,21,084
6.	Less 10% TDS on interest amount	Rs. 13,208
7.	Net refundable amount	Rs.6,07,876

3. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in view of the averments made in para No. 4 reproduced above nothing is due against the petitioner and the instant petition may be disposed of, accordingly.

4. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the case having been called twice. Even on the last 02 dates of hearing, the matter was adjourned at the request of learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In view of the facts noted above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same stands **disposed of** accordingly.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

12.01.2026

rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No