



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

121

CWP-12484-2026

Date of Decision: 24.04.2026

**ADITYA GUPTA**

...Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA**

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate and  
Mr. Shubham Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Petitioner has approached this Court *inter alia* for quashing of notices, dated 14.01.2025, Annexures P-8 and P-9, issued by the District Town Planner, Enforcement, Gurugram, under Section 10 (2) of the Haryana Development and Regulations of Urban Areas Act, 1975 (for short 'the 1975 Act').

2. During the course of hearing, learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that a consolidated representation/response has been submitted by the petitioner vide e-mail on 26.02.2026, Annexure P-11, wherein besides requesting for withdrawal of impugned notices, a request has also been made for permission to convert the residential plots into commercial plots.

3. Be that as it may, since impugned show cause notices are



pending and a response has been submitted, this Court is of the view that the show cause notices deserve to be taken to their logical end.

4. Accordingly, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to take a final decision on the show cause notices in accordance with law, after considering the response, Annexure P-11, within a period of three months from the date of communication of this order.

5. It is expected that the competent authority shall pass a reasoned order in case the response submitted by the petitioner is being rejected.

**( SUVIR SEHGAL )**  
**JUDGE**

**April 24, 2026**  
*harish*

**( VIKAS SURI )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No