



CRM-M-23369-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

252

CRM-M-23369-2025

Date of decision : 14.05.2026

NASIB AND ANOTHER

... PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rishab Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.319 dated 03.08.2024, under Sections 110, 115, 190, 191(3), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 190, 191(3) of BNS has been deleted and Section 3(5) of BNS has been added later on), registered at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR is outcome of a misunderstanding which has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He



CRM-M-23369-2025

-2-

has referred to the compromise (Annexure P-2), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 03.02.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned ACJ(SD)/SDJM, Meham and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 17.02.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Learned State counsel as well as the counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

7. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

8. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.319 dated 03.08.2024, under Sections 110, 115, 190, 191(3), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 190, 191(3) of

**CRM-M-23369-2025****-3-**

BNS has been deleted and Section 3(5) of BNS has been added later on), registered at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners are hereby quashed.

14.05.2026
Sonia

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No