



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP-12317-2026

Date of decision : 23.04.2026

Paramjeet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate with
Ms. Apurva Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to allocate the station in district Bathinda and to offer the vacant stations, as at subsequent stage, the candidates are being adjusted in pick and choose manner and also to allow the petitioner to submit her options for the vacant stations in district Bathinda. Further, seeking issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 10.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) to the extent that the petitioner has not given all the station option in district Bathinda inspite the same being vacant and has been allocated station at Fazilka.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for redressal of her grievances, the petitioner has also submitted representation dated 04.02.2026 (Annexure P-4) before the respondents which is still pending consideration. He further submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if appropriate directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide the said representation, by passing a

**CWP-12317-2026****-2-**

speaking order, in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Surya Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without going into the merits of the case at this stage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation dated 04.02.2026 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner expeditiously, by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereon shall be conveyed to the petitioner.

23.04.2026
kothiwal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No