



2026:PHHC:063394

2026:PHHC:063394



CRWP-4731-2026 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRWP-4731-2026 (O&M)

Decided on: 24.04.2026

JASVIR KAUR

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that there is a civil dispute between the parties and *status quo* is operating in her favour but despite that, the respondents have destroyed her crops and FIR in that regard, has also been registered. But despite the registration of the said FIR, the respondents have been trying to trespass the property of the petitioner. It is further contended that, a representation dated 04.04.2026 (Annexure P-8), in this regard, has already been moved to the authorities



2026:PHHC:063394

2026:PHHC:063394

**CRWP-4731-2026 (O&M) -2-**

concerned, however, it went in vain. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to official respondent(s) to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submitted that there is a civil dispute between the parties regarding the possession of land which is not partitioned in metes and bounds. In this regard, two FIRs have already been registered and now a representation (Annexure P-8) has been received which is under inquiry and the competent authority will take appropriate action on the representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the statement made on behalf of learned counsel for the State, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur, to decide the representation (Annexure P-8), in accordance with law.

7. Disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

24.04.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO