



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12268-2026
Date of Decision : **23.04.2026**

M/S MANDEEP BUS SERVICE REGD RAMANPetitioner
VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Satinder Pal Singh Dhanesar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. The main grievance which propelled the present petitioner to approach this Court by casting instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is the indolent and lackadaisical approach of the authority concerned, in not deciding the legal notice dated 23.02.2026 (Annexure P-6).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case a *mandamus* is passed upon respondents, to take a final decision on the legal notice (*supra*), in a time bound manner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from Sh. Gurpreet Singh Thind, (PCS), RTA, Bathinda, submits that he has no objection, if the aforesaid direction is passed upon the respondent authorities.



4. In view of the above, and considering the prayer, as made by learned counsel for the petitioner, being innocuous and *bona fide*, this Court, without observing anything on merits of the instant case, directs the competent authority amongst the respondents, to take a final decision on the legal notice (*supra*), by passing a speaking order, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

April 23, 2026
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No