



CRM-M-21905-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-21905-2026
Date of decision : 21.04.2026

SHUBHAM RANA

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Shivam Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (corresponding to Section 438 Cr.P.C.) seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioner in FIR No.376 dated 30.10.2025, under Sections 18(B) and 29 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar, Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that co-accused Pardeep Kumar Rana, who is the father of the petitioner, was apprehended at a police naka while driving an XUV-300 car bearing registration No.HR02BC-6964. Thereafter, upon checking the said vehicle, the recovery of 3 kg. 750 grams of opium was allegedly effected.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner was neither named in the FIR nor in the disclosure statement of co-accused(s). He has been arraigned as an accused solely on the basis of call details between him and co-accused Pardeep Kumar Rana, who is his father. It

**CRM-M-21905-2026**

-2-

is further submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and in this case, there is no incriminating material against him which would connect him with the alleged offence. It is also submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State and upon instructions, submits that there are about 257 calls between the petitioner and co-accused Pardeep Kumar Rana. It is submitted that the matter is still under investigation and the alleged recovery is of heavy quantity. He, therefore, prays for dismissal of the present petition as the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for a proper and effective investigation.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the material available on record.

7. It is apparent from the record that the recovery of 3 kg. 750 grams of opium has been effected from the vehicle in question, which falls within the category of commercial quantity.

8. Moreover, there are multiple calls, as many as 257 calls, between the petitioner and co-accused Pardeep Kumar Rana, and the investigation is still in progress. Therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner appears to be necessary for a fair and effective investigation.

9. Keeping in view the seriousness of the offence, the nature of allegations and the material collected during investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

10. Consequently, the petition is, hereby, dismissed.

**CRM-M-21905-2026**

-3-

11. However, it is clarified that the observations made hereinabove would not have any bearing on the merits of the case.

April 21, 2026
A.Kaundal

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No