



2026:PHHC:074866



128

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22088-2026

Aditya Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

Date of decision: May 13, 2026

Date of Uploading: May 13, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Srivastava, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Preetinder Singh Ahluwalia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sushant Kareet, Advocate,
Mr. Lovejeet Poonia, Advocate and
Mr. Sukhleen, Advocate for respondent No.3.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 seeking to issue directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to not harass the petitioners by calling them for conducting inquiry, in respect of complaints made by respondent No.3, including Complaint No.74774 dated 17.02.2026 pending before learned Additional Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana for offences under Sections 318(1), 316, 111(1), 351(1) of the BNS, 2023 (and any other present or future complaints pertaining to the same allegations; in view of prior affidavit dated 04.12.2025 disclosing prior inquiry report dated 26.11.2025 (Annexure P-17), filed on behalf of respondent No.1, whereby identical allegations leveled by respondent No.3 were found to be purely civil in nature and not warranting any police action.



2. Learned State counsel has filed an affidavit dated 09.05.2026, in the Court today, which is taken on record. Relevant whereof reads as under:

“3. That the petitioners have filed the present petition for directions to respondents No. 1 and 2 not to harass the petitioners by calling them for conducting enquiry in respect of complaints made by respondent no. 3 including Complaint No. 747774 dated 17.02.2026 pending before respondent no. 2 for offences u/s 318(1), 316, 111(1), 351(1) of BNS. In this regard, it is respectfully submits that the said complaint of respondent no. 3 against the petitioners has already been closed and now no new enquiry is being conducted against the petitioners on the complaint of respondent no.3.”

3. Having perused the above affidavit filed by the State, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the petition in hand, at this stage, with liberty to file fresh petition, in case cause of action so ensues.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

May 13, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No