



2026:PHHC:063439

CRM-M-22183-2026 (O&M)

1

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:063439



**CRM-M-22183-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.04.2026**

PUSHKAR

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kalra, Advocate with
Ms. Isha Janjua, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (erstwhile Section 438 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No. 339 dated 04.11.2025 under Sections 351(3), 3(5), 118(1), 115 BNS 2023 registered at P.S. Urban Estate, District Rohtak (corresponding Sections 506, 34, 324, 323 IPC)

2. The case of the prosecution is that the case was registered on the complaint moved by Deepak @ Deepu that on the night of Diwali, he had a fight with the petitioner, Vishal, Bharat, Suraj at Delhi Bye Pass, Rohtak in which he hit the petitioner on his back with a scissor but they had reached a mutual settlement regarding that fight. Thereafter, on 03.11.2025, while he along with his friend Sunny went to a cart near Swami Nitinand School to eat burger, petitioner along with 3-4 boys came on a bike, Pushkar kicked and slapped him angrily and Vishal stabbed him in the chest with a knife and stabbed him twice in the back with a knife.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The allegations against the



petitioner are rooted in prior enmity between the parties.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Keeping in view the entire facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injuries sustained by the complainant are grievous in nature, in such circumstances, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for thorough and effective investigation of the case. Therefore, considering the seriousness and gravity of the allegations, no exceptional or extraordinary grounds are made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

23.04.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No