



2026:PHHC:061690



2026:PHHC:061690

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CR-3443-2026

Date of Decision: 23.04.2026

Ranbir and Another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Jitender Kumar Sehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

In the partition proceedings between the contesting parties Sanad Takseem was issued on 16.11.2012 by Assistant Collector 2nd Grade, Narnaund, District Hisar. Plaintiffs (*petitioners herein*) instituted a suit in March, 2026 before the Court so as to restrain the contesting defendants from getting the property demarcated as per Sanad Takseem. However the trial Court by way of impugned order dated 23.03.2026 (Annexure P-2) had adjourned the matter and in the meantime, directed the defendants to get the property demarcated, if needed, only after 20.04.2026.

Assailing the order, it is contended by learned counsel that appeal before the Commissioner is still pending against the Sanad Takseem.

However on specific query by this Court, learned counsel concedes that even the appeal before the Commissioner was filed in March, 2026 i.e. almost after 14 years from the date of issuance of the Sanad Takseem.



2026:PHHC:061690



2026:PHHC:061690

CR-3443-2026

-2-

In the aforesaid circumstances, this Court does not find any
illegality or perversity in the impugned order.

No merits. Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

April 23, 2026

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No