



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-11962-2026

Date of Decision: 22.04.2026

VIRENDRA SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the memo dated 06.02.2026, Annexure P-6, issued by the second respondent, and the memo dated 02.04.2026, Annexure P-7, issued by the third respondent, whereby after withdrawal of advance increments granted to the petitioner, recovery has been ordered to be effected from him.

2. Learned State counsel has received instructions from the second respondent/Director, Higher Education, vide memo dated 22.04.2026, which is retained on the case file as Annexure 'A'. Referring to the same, he contends that the Department is in the process of reconsidering petitioner's case with such similar cases, and till the final decision is taken, the impugned orders will not be given effect to.

3. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation within two weeks to the second



respondent/Director, Higher Education regarding his grievance which will also be considered before taking final decision in the matter, and a reasoned order will be passed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

22.04.2026

Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No