



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

COC-1798 of 2026 (O & M)

Date of decision :-20.04.2026

Gurbachan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Viraj Shyamkarn Tidke, IAS, Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manmeet Singh Teji, AAG Punjab assisted by
Mr. Sukhwinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Malerkotla.

NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)

Present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 29.9.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-22230-2025 titled as “Gurbachan Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others”, whereby it was observed as follows :-

“The Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla, who has joined the court proceedings through video conferencing, states that the files pertaining to the aforesaid mutations (i.e. Mutation No.1139 dated 25.11.2013, Mutation No.1169 dated 12.11.2014 and Mutation No.1174 dated 16.6.2015 regarding the land situated at Village Sikandarpura, Hadbast No.74, Tehsil and District Malerkotla) are under reconstruction, and a Committee has been constituted to fix the responsibility of the delinquent official regarding why the files are not traceable. He further submits that the entire exercise concerning the reconstruction of the files, as well as the fixation of



liability of the concerned official, will be completed within a period of four weeks from today, and that a final decision on the aforesaid mutations will be taken within a period of three weeks thereafter.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no decision has been taken on the said mutations till date despite the fact that the Deputy Commissioner had made a statement that the decision on the said mutations will be taken within three weeks.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on instructions from Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar, Malerkotla, has informed that the mutations have been duly reconstructed as stated by the Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla before the Writ Court. It is submitted that it is the petitioner, who has to apply to the concerned office for getting copies of the reconstructed mutations.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will do the needful and in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, the present contempt petition may be disposed of as such.

Heard.

In view of the facts noted above and the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the present contempt petition stands **disposed of**.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed of.

April 20, 2026
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No
Whether Reportable Yes / No