



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

**COCP No.1781 of 2026 (O & M)**

**Date of decision :-11.05.2026**

**Sh. Chander Parkash Lineman (Retd.)**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Dr. J. Ganesan, IAS and others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present:- Mr. Jai Singh Yadav, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate with  
Ms. Anisha Rana, Advocate  
for the respondents No.1 to 4-HVPNL.

**NIDHI GUPTA J. (Oral)**

Present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 07.8.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP-380-2014 titled as “Chander Parkash vs. HVPNL and others”, whereby the writ petition was allowed and the respondents were directed to “...release the consequential benefits of third financial upgradation to the petitioner, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Any further delay would entail 7.5% interest per annum commencing from the date of retirement of the petitioner, in terms of *A.J. Randhawa Supg. Engineer (Retd.) vs. State of Punjab 1998(1) SCT 343*”.

At the very outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that during the pendency of the present contempt proceedings, substantial compliance of the directions issued by the Writ Court has since been effected and Cheque No.447462 dated 08.5.2026



amounting to Rs.4,57,938/-, drawn on State Bank of India, has already been credited in the account of the petitioner. It is further submitted that acknowledgment regarding receipt of the aforesaid amount by the petitioner on 08.5.2026 is also available on the photocopy of the cheque. A copy thereof has been handed over in Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, upon instructions, fairly submits that in view of the compliance reported by the respondents, nothing further survives for adjudication in the present contempt petition and the same may accordingly be disposed of.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Since the directions issued by the Writ Court have now been complied with and the petitioner has admittedly received the amount in question, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the present contempt proceedings pending. This Court is satisfied that substantial compliance of the order dated 07.8.2025 has been made.

Accordingly, finding no ground to proceed further under the Contempt of Courts Act, the present contempt petition is **disposed of**.

Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

May 11, 2026  
Vijay Asija

( NIDHI GUPTA )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No  
Whether Reportable Yes / No