



117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11635-2026 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 20.04.2026**

DR. INDER KUMAR DUDI AND ORS.

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to consider the claim of the Petitioners for grant of 5% additional honorarium on completion of five years' satisfactory service and 5% annual increment for the period from 2019 to 2021 (i.e. for three years) which was not granted to the Petitioners being contractual employees working under the Director General Health, Haryana, whereas the contractual employees working under the National Health Mission have been granted the said benefits in terms of the Service Bye-Laws; and to extend to the Petitioners the benefit of Service Bye-Laws at par with the contractual employees working under the National Health Mission.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that the denial of 5% additional honorarium upon completion of five years of satisfactory service, as well as the 5% annual increment for the period from 2019 to 2021 (i.e., for three years), to the petitioners who are contractual employees working under the Director General Health, Haryana is illegal. It is further submitted that the petitioners' claim for issuance of registration/EPF Code under the Employees' Provident Fund Act remains pending, and their application dated 23.08.2023 is still under consideration before respondent No. 6 for necessary action.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the issue involved in the present case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and assures the Court that the issue involved in the present petition shall be decided in accordance with law.

6. In view of the above, and without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.3-Director General Health, Haryana is directed to consider and decide issue involved in the present petition, after providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. It is further clarified that in the event the petitioners have not submitted any representation with regard to the grievance raised herein, the present writ petition itself shall be treated as a representation for all intents and



3

2026:PHHC:061435

CWP-11635-2026 (O&M)

purposes, and the same shall be duly considered and decided by the competent authority within the aforesaid time frame.

8. A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioners within seven days thereafter.

9. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

20.04.2026
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>: Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>: Yes/No</i>