



136

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.22391 of 2026  
Date of Decision:14.05.2026**

**Allen Deep Singh @ Allen****..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present petition has been filed praying for quashing of impugned order dated 08.04.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar, in case bearing SC/107/2025 arising out of FIR No.0097 dated 22.03.2024 under Sections 120-B, 392, 397 of IPC registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar, Haryana whereby the bail of the petitioner was cancelled and his bail bonds/surety bonds were ordered to be forfeited to State and warrant of arrest was issued against him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was prosecuted in the abovesaid FIR. He submits that the petitioner was regularly appearing before the learned trial Court, however, he had inadvertently noted the wrong date of hearing and thus, he could not appear before the trial Court. He has submitted that due to



the absence of petitioner, the learned trial Court vide order dated 08.04.2026 cancelled the bail; bail bonds/surety bonds were forfeited to State and issued the warrant of arrest against the petitioner. He has submitted that absence of the petitioner was *bona fide* and not intentional and he never misused the concession of bail granted to him. He has further submitted that the petitioner is ready to appear before the learned trial Court and abide by the terms and conditions imposed upon him.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. He on the other hand has contended that learned trial Court rightly cancelled the bail of the petitioner and he was liable to be prosecuted in the said case, as he failed to appear in the Court despite orders.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. It is apparent that the petitioner was prosecuted in the present case, whose bail was cancelled; bail/surety bonds were forfeited to State and warrant of arrest was issued against him, due to his non-appearance on the ground that he inadvertently noted the wrong date of hearing. But now the petitioner is keen and ready to join the proceedings and face the trial. So keeping in view the abovesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of and order dated 08.04.2026 is *set aside* subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be deposited in the **‘Punjab and Haryana High Court Dispensary Welfare Fund’** by the petitioner in one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case, petitioner



appears before the Court concerned within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order and files an application for bail alongwith receipt of abovesaid costs, the Court concerned is directed to admit him to bail subject to its satisfaction and proceed with the trial in accordance with law. He will have protection from arrest for a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

7. Needless to say that in case the petitioner fails to comply with the abovesaid direction, he will have no benefit of abovesaid protection granted by this Court and order under challenge dated 08.04.2026, would automatically come in force.

14.05.2026

*ps-I*

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |