



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

113

COCP-1912-2026

Date of Decision: 23.04.2026

SG Enclave Phase-I, Resident Welfare Society (Regd.) and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

Nitesh Kumar Jain

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present: - Ms. Isha Janjua, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Ratik Chatrath Kapur, Advocate  
for the respondents assisted by  
Mr. Khushpreet Singh, Estate Officer.

**NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-8) passed by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP-38972-2025 titled as 'SG enclave Phase-I Resident Welfare Society (Registered and others vs. State of Punjab and others ', whereby it was directed as follows:-

“xxx xxx xxx  
6. In view of the limited prayer, this petition is disposed of with direction to the official respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 31.07.2025 (Annexure P-17) of the petitioners in accordance with law after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioners and other affected parties, expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

2. At the very outset, learned Senior Counsel for the respondents has pointed out that first and foremost in pursuance of the



order dated 24.12.2025 (Annexure P-8), the petitioner had served notice upon the respondents along with copy of the said order only on 10.03.2026. It is further pointed out on instructions from Sh. Khuspreet Singh, Estate Officer, that qua the un-authorized construction existing at the spot raised by the constructors, they have applied for compounding of un-authorized construction under the provisions of the Punjab Apartment and Property Regulation Act, 1995 (PAPRA), which is under consideration. It is accordingly prayed that the present petition may be disposed of.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to controvert the aforesaid submission of learned Senior Counsel for the respondents.

4. Heard.

5. In view of the afore-noted facts, the present petition stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

6. Rule stands discharged.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**23.04.2026**

*rishu*

**( NIDHI GUPTA )  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable Yes/No**