



2026:PHHC:097983

2026:PHHC:097983

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

676

CWP-14020-2009 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.07.2026

Sushil Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Addl. AG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that for the charge against the petitioner of not proceedings for training course at Hyderabad, he though was initially in the department proceedings, awarded an punishment of stoppage of one annual increment with temporary effect vide order dated 14.08.2007, Annexure P-3, against which an appeal filed by him is allowed vide order dated 05.07.2001, Annexure P-4, setting aside the same and advising him to be more careful in future and his period of suspension from 15.02.2007 to 09.07.2007 was also ordered to be treated as spent on duty. Prior thereto, the adverse remarks due to the aforesaid, on account of absenting himself to avoid training, which were recorded as not reliable by the Reviewing Officer vide order dated 11.05.2007, Annexure P-5, against which, a representation has also been submitted, which was rejected vide Annexure P-8, despite the fact that under Right to Information Act, he received the information, Annexure P-7, specifically stated therein by the Department that they were on account of he having absented from the course, thus requires to be reconsidered in light of the aforesaid.



2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to having a relook at the matter and decided afresh, uninflenced by the order impugned, which was rejected vide Annexure P-6, within a period of 6 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon he shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

17.07.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No