



2026:PHHC:056523



2026:PHHC:056523

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

151

**CRWP-4202-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.04.2026**

Tamanna and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Sharndeeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 19 and take action on their representation dated 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-5).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) before respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.



2026:PHHC:056523



2026:PHHC:056523

4. Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paper-book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula to decide the aforesaid representation dated 09.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners within a period of one month from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

10.04.2026*Waseem Ansari***(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned**Yes/No**Whether reportable**Yes/No*