



CRWP-4156-2026

1

**140 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4156-2026**Date of Decision: 10.04.2026****SHIVANI AND ANOTHER****.....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Naveen Upadhyay, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 and their relatives as well as agents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents No. 4 to 8. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents No. 4 to 8. Resultantly, a representation dated 03.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) Punjab wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps

**CRWP-4156-2026****2**

have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) Punjab to look into their representation dated 03.04.2026 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S.Pandher, Asst. A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) Punjab to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

10.04.2026*remu*

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No