



CRM-M-20005-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

CRM-M-20005-2026

Date of decision: 10.04.2026

KULWINDER SINGH @ KINDI

... PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Sharda, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 37 dated 17.03.2026, registered under Sections 21-B/61/85 of the NDPS Act at Police Station Odhan, District Sirsa.

2. The case of the prosecution is that 06 grams and 13 milligrams of heroin was recovered from co-accused Shamsher Singh @ Ranjha. During investigation, the said co-accused suffered a disclosure statement stating that the recovered contraband had been purchased from the present petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and has been nominated only on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused and no recovery has been effected from him.



CRM-M-20005-2026

-2-

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is involved in as many as five other cases under the NDPS Act and his custodial interrogation is necessary.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. At this stage, it cannot be ignored that the petitioner has been specifically named in the disclosure statement of the co-accused, from whom recovery of heroin has been effected. Furthermore, the petitioner is stated to be involved in multiple other cases under the NDPS Act, which reflects his antecedents. The requirement of custodial interrogation to unearth the source of contraband and the chain of supply also cannot be ruled out.

7. Considering the nature of allegations, the involvement of the petitioner in other NDPS cases and the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court does not find any ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail.

8. The present petition is dismissed.

**(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE**

April 10, 2026
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No