

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

272

CRM-M-20149-2026**Date of Decision: 12.05.2026****M/S SHRI SAI ENTERPRISES AND ORS****....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate,
Mr. Abhishek Vig, Advocate and
Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate the petitioners.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate,
Mr. Arjun Sangwan, Advocate for the complainants.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS (U/s 482 of Cr.P.C.) for quashing of FIR No. 80 Dated 18.04.2025 under Section 316(2) BNS (406 IPC) and 318(2) BNS, 2023 (417 IPC), registered at Police Station Sector 6, Dharuhera, District Rewari (Section 318(4) BNS (420 IPC), Section 351(2) BNS (506 IPC), Section 338 BNS (467 IPC), 336(3) BNS (468 IPC), 340(2) BNS (471 IPC) added later on) on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

2. This Court vide order dated 20.04.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned JMIC, Rewari and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned JMIC, Rewari has submitted copy of report dated



07.05.2026 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainants have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. Mr. Sagar Sharma, Advocate appears and filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.9 in the Court today and the same is taken on record. He has not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

6. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

7. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 80 Dated 18.04.2025 under Section 316(2) BNS (406 IPC) and 318(2) BNS, 2023 (417 IPC), registered at Police Station Sector 6, Dharuhera, District Rewari (Section 318(4) BNS (420 IPC), Section 351(2) BNS (506 IPC), Section 338 BNS (467 IPC), 336(3) BNS (468 IPC), 340(2) BNS (471 IPC) added later on) alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise Annexure P-3.

8. Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

12.05.2026

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No