



2026:PHHC:075450



2026:PHHC:075450

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

**CRM-M-20038-2026
DECIDED ON: 13.05.2026**

NEELANCHAL SUNAMUDI @SONU PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Karan Jangra, Advocate, and
Mr. Raahat Kataria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of regular bail, in case, FIR No.01, dated 01.01.2026, under Sections 20(b)(ii)(C) and 27-A of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Tohana, District Fatehabad.

2. Case of the prosecution is that 24 kilograms and 955 grams of *ganja* contained in a plastic bag was recovered from a car, bearing registration No.HR-32N-9005, which, at the time of recovery, was occupied by co-accused Sonu and Gurdev Singh @ Jony. Upon their arrest, they disclosed name of another accused, namely Sumit Dhaka, from whom they had allegedly procured the recovered contraband. Sumit Dhaka was arrested on 03.01.2026 and, in his disclosure statement, he named the present petitioner as supplier of the recovered contraband. Consequently, petitioner was arrested on 07.01.2026.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance notice, Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, learned Senior DAG, Haryana, puts an appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and upon instructions from ASI Mahesh Kumar, submits that



petitioner is a resident of State of Orissa and investigation in the present case is still ongoing.

He further submits that substantial material against the petitioner is available with the prosecution, as the mobile phone recovered from him contains evidence regarding sending photographs of *ganja* to co-accused Sumit Dhaka. In addition, bank transactions amounting to Rs.70,000/- in favour of Sumit Dhaka and Rs.90,000/- in favour of accused Gurdev Singh @ Jony are also stated to be there on record.

5. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and has perused the record available before it.

6. Although no contraband was recovered from the petitioner and his involvement in the present case has surfaced primarily on the basis of disclosure statement made by the co-accused, yet, considering the fact that petitioner is a resident of the State of Orissa and investigation is still in progress, this Court is not inclined to entertain the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

7. **Accordingly, present petition stands disposed of, at this stage,** with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh petition seeking regular bail after completion of the investigation, submission of *challan*, and framing of charges.

13.05.2026

Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>