

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

S.No. 118

CR-3181-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 24.04.2026

Mohd Elyas

... Petitioner

Versus

Subhash Chander through his LRs and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Petitioner along with his counsel
Mr. Ankit Chowdhri , Advocate,

Mr. G.S.Jaswal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)

By way of filing the present Revision Petition, the petitioner has challenged the correctness of ejectment order dated 15.1.2024 passed by Rent Controller, Chandigarh which has been upheld by the Appellate Authority, Chandigarh vide order dated 27.3.2026.

2. The eviction petition filed by the respondent-landlord under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 has been allowed and ejectment of the tenant-petitioner from the shop in question on the ground of bonafide personal requirement of the grandson of the respondent-landlord has been ordered.

3. At the outset, counsel for the tenant-petitioner on behalf of the tenant, who is present in Court, does not press the petition on merit, however, made a limited prayer on behalf of tenant-petitioner/Mohd Elyas



for grant of reasonable time to vacate the demised premises may be considered and in this regard, he has also filed an affidavit, as per which, the petitioner undertakes to handover vacant possession of the demised premises to the landlord-respondent on or before 24.12.2026. The said affidavit is taken on record.

4. Keeping in view the facts on record and the aforesaid limited prayer made on behalf of the tenant petitioner, the present petition is disposed of by upholding order dated 27.3.2026 passed by the Appellate Authority, Chandigarh, however, the petitioner is granted time up to 24.12.2026 to vacate the demised premises from today.

5. It is made clear that the petitioner shall be bound by the aforesaid undertaking/affidavit made/given before this Court and in case of non-adherence, necessary legal consequences, which may include initiation of contempt proceedings, shall follow. In such eventuality, the respondent shall also be at liberty to seek execution of this order as per law.

6. However, nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the controversy.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

April 24, 2026

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No