

CRM-M-19399-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-19399-2026

Date of Decision: 20.04.2026

RANI KAUR ALIAS RANI

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

**H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)**


1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 (439 of Cr.P.C), in case FIR No.03 dated 12.01.2026 under Sections 21,29,61, 85 of NDPS Act registered at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur, Punjab.

2. The case of the prosecution is that secret information was received that the petitioner is habitual of selling heroin which was supplied by Ajay Kumar @ Mental and petitioner is standing at Jawandhar Road on the track of bridge, Sunam. Thereafter, raid was conducted and petitioner was apprehended and 10 grams of heroin was recovered from her.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that false case has been planted upon the petitioner. He further submits that there is non-compliance of mandatory provision of Section 50 and 42 (2) of NDPS Act and prays for grant of regular bail. The petitioner is in custody since 12.01.2026.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular

**CRM-M-19399-2026****-2-**

bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in ten more cases. He has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner and the same is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for more than 03 months. He further submits that the charges are yet to be framed.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. Keeping in view the facts that the petitioner is in custody for more than 03 months; charges are yet to be framed and since the trial is yet to commence and is likely to take a considerable time to conclude, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial as the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

10. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State/complainant would be at liberty to seek cancellation of his bail.

11. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

20.04.2026  
*remu*

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No