



2026:PHHC:061716

2026:PHHC:061716



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**CWP-10879-2026
Decided On: 23.04.2026**

PAWAN KUMAR AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Rampal Kaushik, Advocate and
Mr. Deepak Jaglan, Advocate for the petitioner

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (ORAL)

*** * * ***

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 13.01.2025/14.01.2025 and 22.01.2025 (P-5 to P-7) passed by respondent No.4 vide which the petitioners have been relieved and their services have been terminated without appreciating the provision of the Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024 (P-2) issued by the State whereby the employees who have been deployed to HKRNL and having at least 5 years of service, have been protected till the age of superannuation and as per letter dated 27.12.2024 (P-4) issued by the State vide which the employee working before July, 2021 cannot be relieved.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that present petition be disposed of in terms of judgment passed by this Court in CWP-7437-2025 titled as '*Renu and others vs. State of Haryana and others*', decided on 24.12.2025 wherein similar issue has been adjudicated.

3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG Haryana, having been served with an advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and undertakes that the impugned relieving order by which the service of the petitioner was dispensed with shall be withdrawn and they will be allowed to continue till a fresh decision is taken. It has further been stated that the State shall undertake a fresh consideration of the matter by examining the case of the petitioners and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

5. In the light of the assurance tendered by the learned State counsel, and the concurrence of learned counsel for the petitioners, this Court is persuaded that the present writ petition no longer requires adjudication on merits. The impugned relieving order is hereby set aside, and till a fresh decision is taken, the services of the petitioners shall not be dispensed with, and wherever the petitioners have not been permitted to rejoin duties, they shall be taken back forthwith so that their humble means of livelihood are not imperiled and the sustenance of their families is preserved.

6. In case the petitioners have not submitted any representation so far to the State Government or the concerned Head of the Department, the present writ petition shall be treated as representation, and the case shall be decided afresh after taking into account the pleas raised therein, in accordance with law.

7. The respondents shall, with due regard to the dignity of the petitioners and the governing statutory framework, reconsider the case, and pass reasoned orders strictly in accordance with law, in both its letter and spirit, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. Until such fresh orders are passed, the continuity of service of the petitioners shall remain undisturbed.

9. In view of above, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

10. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

23.04.2026

Naina Rajput

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No