



2026:PHHC:093836

2026:PHHC:093836



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

506

CWP-20019-2006 (O & M)
Date of decision: 10.07.2026

G.D. GUPTA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HRY. AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel refers to para 2 of written statement wherein it has been stated that even prior to closure of the Corporation on 30.07.2002 and subsequent termination of services of the petitioner, there was no rule/regulation and instructions of the department, according to which a retiree or terminated employee would be entitled to facility of reimbursement of medical expenses.

2. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived by demonstrating that the cause of action still subsists.

10.07.2026

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No