



2026:PHHC:061541

2026:PHHC:061541



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-19441-2026 (O & M)

Date of decision: 23.04.2026

JAIDEEP

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Shobhit Rapria, Advocate,
for the petitioner. (through VC)

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 read with Section 528 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.66 dated 02.03.2026, registered at Police Station Murthal, District Sonipat, under Sections 110, 190, 191(3), 333, 351(3) BNS, 2023 (Sections 308, 149, 148, 452 and 506 IPC).

2. On 09.04.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that no overt act has been attributed to the petitioner though he was alleged to be part of unlawful assembly. He is an employee of MCD and dispute inter se the neighbors. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 16.04.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required



by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.
Adjourned to 23.04.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from PSI Parveen affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 09.04.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

23.04.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No