



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.105

CM-7522-CWP-2026,
CM-7523-CWP-2026 and
CM-7524-CWP-2026 in/and
CWP-11253-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.05.2026

Rajesh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate, and
Ms. Navjeet Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

CM-7522-CWP-2026

For the reasons stated, the application is allowed and the date of hearing of main case is advanced to today itself.

CWP-11253-2019 (O&M)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking regularisation of the petitioner in service, who is working as Electrician Instructor in Government I.T.I., Hassangarh.

2. Learned counsel contended that in terms of the latest decision of the Supreme Court, dated 16.04.2026, rendered in *Madan Singh and others v. State of Haryana and others*, 2026 INSC 379, the petitioner is entitled to regularisation under the Government notification dated 18.06.2014, Annexure P-4. At this stage, he will be satisfied in case he is permitted to make an appropriate representation before the respondents raising the said grievance, which may be ordered to be decided within a specified period. All



relevant particulars of the petitioner's service which entitle him to the claimed benefit will be mentioned therein.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, assisted by Mr. Harmanjit Singh Johal, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by respondent no.2 - Director, Industrial Training and Vocational Education, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law in the light of *Madan Singh case ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No