



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

CRR-544-2009 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.05.2026

JASSA SINGH

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI

Present : Mr.Pritpal Singh Miglani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI, J. (Oral)

1. Mr.Pritpal Singh Miglani, Advocate has filed his memo of appearance for the petitioner-Jassa Singh. It be scanned and be then placed at the appropriate place.

2. An FIR No.177 dated 30.05.1999 under sections 332, 353, 430, 379, 186, 34 of IPC was registered against the petitioner and his co-accused Tara Singh at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur. On completion of investigation, they were charge-sheeted and tried for the said offences. Through a judgment and order of conviction dated 28.03.2008, they were convicted for the charged offences and were punished for a maximum imprisonment of 03 to 06 months. All the sentences imposed on them were ordered to run concurrently. They filed an appeal against the said conviction/sentence but appeal of the petitioner was dismissed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ferozepur on 16.12.2008 whereas the co-accused Tara Singh was granted probation. Against the said dismissal, the petitioner-Jassa Singh has filed the present petition. At the outset, the learned counsel



for the petitioner-Jassa Singh states that his client has instructed him to make a statement before this Court that his client does not challenge the impugned judgment dated 16.12.2008 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ferozepur and the judgment of conviction dated 28.03.2008 passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ferozepur but leniency may be shown to his client in the matter of imposition of sentence.

3. In view of his such statement, the said judgments are confirmed. However, it is on record that out of a maximum imprisonment period of 06 month, the petitioner has already undergone a period of 03 months and 12 days in custody. This is apparent from a custody certificate filed by the State of Punjab today in the Court. Imposed fine is stated to have already been paid by the petitioner. There is no other FIR registered against him as is evident from the said custody certificate. The occurrence in question is stated to have taken place on 29.05.1999. A period of approximately 27 years has elapsed since the said date. For the said facts, I am of the considered opinion that a lenient view, so far as imposition of sentence upon the petitioner is concerned, deserves to be taken. Accordingly, the sentence/s of 06 months imposed on the petitioner is/are ordered to be reduced to the period already undergone by him but imposition of fine upon him is confirmed. The present petition is partly allowed in the above-stated terms.

4. All interim application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

(RAMESH CHANDER DIMRI)
JUDGE

14.05.2026
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No