



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-17868-2026
Decided on : 23.04.2026

Amarjeet Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Shubham Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Amarjeet Singh, aged about 62 years	136	05.09.2025	S. 316(2) and 318(4) of BNS, 2023 and S. 238 of BNS, 2023 (added later on) (Corresponding Sections 406, 420, 506 & 201 of IPC)	Shehzadpur	Ambala

2. In the present case, on 02.04.2026, following order was passed by this Court:-

“2. Complainants – Rinku Kumar and Mukesh Kumar got registered the FIR in question, which reads as under:-

“We, Rinku Kumar son of Shri Jarnail Singh, Mukesh Kumar son of Shri Ram Gopal, are residents of Village Kakad Majra, Tehsil Narayangarh. District Ambala and we gave the aforementioned Neeraj Kumar (Mo. No. 9468072146) for the purpose of going to the country of Romania on date 11.06.2024, a cheque of 1,00,000/- (One Lakh Rupees) and 50,000/- (Fifty



Thousand Rupees) in cash. A total of Rs. 1,50,000/- (One Lakh Fifty Thousand Rupees) was given and our talk with Neeraj-Kumar was for Rs. 3,80,000/- (Three Lakh Eighty Thousand Rupees) per person to be sent abroad. Rs. 1,50,000/- (One Lakh Fifty Thousand Rupees) was given by us. Rs. 75,000/- (Seventy Five Thousand Rupees) per person and the remaining balance was settled to be given after reaching abroad. That till date, he has not taken any action to send us abroad. When we ask for our money back, he continuously practices evasion and is giving false promises. Now he is giving us threats that if we ask for the money back then he will kill us. He does not even pick up our phone and he talks or messages only on WhatsApp and we are ready to share the information, regarding the conversation that took place with this Neeraj Kumar on WhatsApp. The original passport of Rinku Kumar and Mukesh Kumar, and the original certificate of 10th class of Rinku Kumar are also with Neeraj Kumar and he is not returning our documents either. I am attaching the bank statement of the account from which the money was deducted. Our meeting with him took place in May 2024. He had said that he would send us to Romania, if for some reason he could not send us to Romania, then he would send us to Russia. But he has not sent us to Russia either. It is requested to you to please take legal action against him and get our money returned from him. Thanking you."

3. *Counsel for the petitioner submits that dispute primarily pertains to an amount of Rs.1,50,000/-. It is further contended that allegations against the accused are limited to the taking of original passport of the complainants-Rinku Kumar, and Mukesh Kumar, as well as the original matriculation certificates of Rinku Kumar.*

It is submitted that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and fully cooperate, if protected from arrest by this Court. Thus, counsel prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, Mr. Parveen Kumar Goyal, Addl. AG, Haryana, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 23.04.2026.*

7. *Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court."

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that, in compliance with the order dated 02.04.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has fully cooperated



with the Investigating Agency. Therefore, prayer has been made for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, confirms the aforesaid averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner regarding joining of investigation by the petitioner and submits that, as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

He, however, submits that the petitioner has not handed over his passport.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Considering the submissions recorded in the order dated 02.04.2026 and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has neither handed over his passport nor submitted any affidavit in this regard to the Investigating Agency/Investigating Officer, in terms of the said order, this Court does not deem it appropriate to allow the instant petition in absolute terms.

However, since the petitioner has already joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no more required, the ad-interim bail order dated 02.04.2026 passed by this Court is hereby made **absolute**.

The same, however, shall remain subject to the petitioner submitting his passport before the Investigating Agency/Investigating Officer within a period of one week from today. In case he does not possess any passport, he shall file an affidavit to that effect before the Investigating Agency/Investigating Officer within the aforesaid period.



Besides above, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, with the aforesaid condition(s), **instant petition stands disposed of.**

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioner to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 23, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No