



2026:PHHC:056278

2026:PHHC:056278



215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18517-2026

Date of Decision: 10.04.2026

Date of Uploading: 10.04.2026

Prince @ Sahil @ Pishu

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Ms. Pearl Narang, Advocate and
Mr. Parikshit Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (correspond to Section 439 Cr.P.C.) for
grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.207 dated
20.12.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 115,
118, 126, 190, 191(3), 351(2) and 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023 (correspond to Sections 307, 323, 324, 341, 148, 149, 506 and 120-B
of the Indian Penal Code, 1860), at Police Station Titram, District Kaithal.

2. The gravamen of the FIR in question is that on 19.12.2025, the
victim, namely, Ankit, aged about 16 years and a student of 10+2, was
inflicted injuries i.e. multiple stab blows on his chest and rib area, by a
group of assailants, while he was returning from his school. The names of



the four assailants are mentioned in the complaint itself. The victim had sustained incised wounds and was operated in the GMCH, Chandigarh and was discharged on 30.12.2025, as per the discharge summary.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 26.12.2025. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated into the FIR in question. Learned counsel has submitted that the name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR. Learned counsel has further submitted that assuming *arguendo*, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the injury pertaining to Section 109 of the BNS (erstwhile Section 307 of the IPC) is attributed to co-accused Pawan @ Pona. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is a young man aged 19 years with clean antecedents. Learned counsel has further submitted that the victim stands discharged after his treatment. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the present petition by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are serious in nature and, thus, he does not deserve the concession of the regular bail. Learned State counsel seeks to place on record custody certificate dated 08.04.2026 in the Court, which is taken on record.

5. I have heard counsel for the rival parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 26.12.2025 whereinafter investigation was carried out and Challan was presented on 30.03.2026 qua the petitioner. Total 14 prosecution witnesses have been cited and it is not



in dispute that out of whom none has been examined till date as the Charges are yet to be framed. The rival contentions raised at Bar give rise to debatable issues shall be ratiocinated upon during the course of trial. This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve deep into these rival contentions, at this stage, *lest* it may prejudice the trial. Nothing tangible has been brought forward to indicate the likelihood of the petitioner absconding from the process of justice or interfering with the prosecution evidence.

6.1. As per custody certificate dated 08.04.2026 filed by the learned State counsel, the petitioner has already suffered incarceration for a period of 03 months and 12 days & is not shown to be involved in any other case/FIR.

Suffice to say, further detention of the petitioner as an undertrial is not warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case.

7. In view of above, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Ld. concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.



- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cellphone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

8. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

April 10, 2026
Yag Dutt

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No